UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA BLUEFIELD DIVISION

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON,

Plaintiff,

V.

Case No: 3:17-cv-01362 Judge Robert C. Chambers

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, CARDINAL HEALTH INC, and MCKESSON CORPORATION, GREGORY DONALD CHANEY, M.D.,

Defendants.

MOTION TO STAY RESPONSE DEADLINE TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS, MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND OTHER PENDING CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES

Plaintiff, The City of Huntington, moves to temporarily stay, or, in the alternative, to extend, the deadlines for filing responses to the pending motions to dismiss and motion for judgment on the pleadings (ECF Nos. 13, 15, and 20), as well as the deadlines set in the Court's Order and Notice regarding the Rule 26(f) meeting and report (ECF No. 3), pending resolution of Plaintiff's motion to remand.

This matter was removed to this Court on February 23, 2017. (ECF No. 1). On March 2, 2017, Defendants filed their motions to dismiss. (ECF Nos. 13 and 15). Plaintiff's responses to these motions are thus due on March 16, 2017. On February 24, 2017, the Court issued its Order and Notice, setting forth various deadlines related to the Rule 26(f) meeting and report. (ECF No. 3). However, Plaintiff filed a motion to remand this matter to state court on this day March 15, 2017, prior to the 30 day deadline imposed by 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). Until the Court has determined whether federal jurisdiction is proper, Plaintiff asserts that extended

motion practice and Rule 26(f) practice will be an inefficient use of the Court's, and Parties',

limited resources. For instance, should the matter be returned to state court, any schedule agreed

to in this forum would likely be rendered a nullity. Moreover, numerous courts in this District

have found that "it is ordinarily improper to resolve the motions to dismiss before deciding [a]

motion to remand." McCoy v. Norfolk S. Ry. Co., 858 F. Supp. 2d 639, 642 (S.D.W. Va. 2012);

see also, e.g., Ball v. CSX Transp., Inc., CIV.A. 3:14-13369, 2014 WL 1874560, at *2 (S.D.W.

Va. May 9, 2014) ("In a given case, a court should resolve a motion to remand before turning to

resolution of a motion to dismiss."); Bilmar Ltd. P'ship v. Prima Mktg., LLC, CIV.A. 2:13-

14391, 2013 WL 6195722, at *1 (S.D.W. Va. Nov. 27, 2013) ("Before the court may consider

the merits of the motions to dismiss, it must first resolve the jurisdictional question posed by the

motion to remand."). Because the Court should consider the motion to remand first, Plaintiff

asserts that briefing on the motions to dismiss should be delayed.

Accordingly, Plaintiff requests the Court to stay, or extend, the deadlines to respond to

the pending motions to dismiss, motion for judgment on the pleadings and the Rule 26(f) related

deadlines, until Plaintiff's forthcoming motion to remand has been ruled upon.

Respectfully submitted,

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON,

By Counsel,

s/Charles R. "Rusty" Webb_

CHARLES R. "RUSTY" WEBB (WVSB No.: 4782)

The Webb Law Centre, PLLC

716 Lee Street, East

Charleston, West Virginia 25301

(304) 344-9322 - telephone

(304) 344-1157 – *facsimile*

rusty@rustywebb.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA BLUEFIELD DIVISION

THE CITY OF HUNTINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No: 3:17-cv-01362 Judge Robert C. Chambers

AMERISOURCEBERGEN DRUG CORPORATION, CARDINAL HEALTH INC, and MCKESSON CORPORATION, GREGORY DONALD CHANEY, M.D.,

Defendants.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Charles R. Webb, counsel for Plaintiff, the City of Huntington, do hereby certify service that on March 15, 2017, I served the forgoing *MOTION TO STAY RESPONSE DEADLINE TO MOTIONS TO DISMISS, MOTION FOR JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS AND OTHER PENDING CASE MANAGEMENT DEADLINES* upon the parties hereto by filing a true copy thereof *via* ECF to the following counsel of record:

A. L. Emch, Esquire Adam J. Schwendeman, Esquire Jackson Kelly PLLC 500 Lee Street East, Suite 1600 P. O. Box 553 Charleston, West Virginia 25322

> Eric W. Sitarchuk, Esquire Meridith S. Auten, Esquire Morgan Lewis & Bockius 1701 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

Counsel for AmerisourceBergen Drug Corp.

Susan M. Robinson, Esquire Robert H. Akers, Esquire Thomas Combs & Spann, PLLC 300 Summers Street, Suite 1380 Charleston, WV 25301 Enu Mainigi, Esquire (*Pro Hac Vice*)
F. Lanc Heard, Esquire
Steven M. Pyser, Esquire
Williams & Connolly LLP
725 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

James R. Wooley, Esquire (*Pro Hac Vice*)

Jones Day

North Point - 901 Lakeside Avenue

Cleveland, OH 44114

Elizabeth P. Kessler, Esquire (*Pro Hac Vice*)
Jones Day
325 John H. McConnell Blvd.
Columbus, OH 43215

Counsel for Cardinal Health, Inc.

Jeffrey M. Wakefield , Esquire Flaherty Sensabaugh Bonasso. PLLC P. O. Box 3843 Charleston, WV 25338-3843

> Geoffrey Hobart, Esquire Matthew Benov, Esquire Covington & Burling LLP One CityCenter 850 Tenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20001

Counsel for McKesson Corporation

s/Charles R. "Rusty" Webb
CHARLES R. "RUSTY" WEBB (WVSB No.: 4782)
The Webb Law Centre, PLLC
716 Lee Street, East
Charleston, West Virginia 25301
(304) 344-9322 - telephone
(304) 344-1157 – facsimile
rusty@rustywebb.com